UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/645,376	08/24/2000	Michael Scott Probasco	042933/283890	3555	
ALSTON & BIRD LLP BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 101 SOUTH TRYON STREET, SUITE 4000 CHARLOTTE, NC 28280-4000			EXAMINER		
			CALLAHAN, PAUL E		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2137		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/07/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/645,376	PROBASCO, MICHAEL SCOTT				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		PAUL CALLAHAN	2137				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apor Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
WHI( - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Designs of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ti will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron e, cause the application to become ABANDONI	N. mely filed n the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>04 o</u>	lanuary 2008					
		s action is non-final.					
3)□	' <del></del>						
٥)ا	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
	closed in accordance with the practice under	Lx parte Quayre, 1000 C.D. 11, 4	33 3.3. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-4 and 6-14</u> is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)🖂	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-3, 6, 8-13</u> is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>4,7 and 14</u> is/are rejected.						
·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement					
٥/ك	are subject to restriction and	or diodion requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examina	er.					
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
·	under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	<u>-</u>	- minimitdon 25     C. C. \$ 110/a	\				
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(8	)-(d) or (i).				
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachmen							
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar					
	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal					
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	ал. призодного				
•							

Art Unit: 2137

### **DETAILED ACTION**

1. Claims 1-14 were pending in the instant application at the time of the issuance of the previous Office Action, mailed July 17, 2006. By the latest response from the applicant, claim 5 is now cancelled. Therefore claims 1-4 and 6-14 remain pending and have been examined.

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 4, 5, and 14 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 4, 7, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable Wasilewski et al. US 5,870,474, and Cordery et al., US 6,480,831.

As for Claim 4, Wasilewski teaches a method and means for carrying out the method for decrypting a message received over a broadcast network (abstract) comprising the steps of: receiving data comprising an encrypted message and a hashed

Art Unit: 2137

key at a node in said broadcast network (abstract) where said node comprises means for storing data (fig. 1 items 90a – 90n "Customers STU's"); parsing said data to derive said encrypted message and said hashed key (col. 11 lines 24-30); comparing said received hashed key with a plurality of keys pre-stored in said means for storing data in said node and to select a key having a hash matching said received hashed key and decrypting said encrypted message with said matching key if a match is found (col. 11 lines 24-67). Wasilewski does not teach the step of requesting a key from a network entity if no prestored key is found to have a hash that matches said received hashed key. However, Cordery does teach this step where, upon a failed hash comparison, a new key is sent (fig. 3 elements S48, S50, col. 5 lines 1-38). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate this feature into the system of Wasilewski. It would have been obvious to do so since this would allow for a user to recover from a corrupted or compromised key as determined from the hash comparison step.

As for Claim 7, Wasilewski teaches a network entity that distributes hashed keys col. 4 lines 12-22 and col. 11 lines 24-30.

As for Claim 14, Wasilewski teaches parsing, comparing, and decrypting steps that are carried out at each of a plurality of nodes (col. 11 lines 24-67)

Art Unit: 2137

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claims 1-3, 6, and 8-13 are allowed.

#### Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul E. Callahan whose telephone number is (571) 272-3869. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 9 to 5.

Art Unit: 2137

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Emmanuel Moise, can be reached on (571) 272-3865. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is: (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/Paul Callahan/ April 25, 2008

/Emmanuel L. Moise/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2137 Application/Control Number: 09/645,376

Page 6

Art Unit: 2137